

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL J. TURSKI, JR.,)	
)	CASE NO. 3:20CV2380
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
WARDEN NEIL TURNER,)	
)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u>
)	<u>ORDER</u>
Respondent.)	[Regarding ECF No. 8]

On August 8, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation suggesting that Petitioner Turski Jr.'s five claims in his Petition for habeas relief be dismissed because they are nonrecognizable and/or meritless, and that Turski's petition for a writ of habeas corpus be denied. *See* [ECF No. 8](#).

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. *Id.*; [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#). Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge's report without review. *See* [Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 \(1985\)](#).

Objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by August 24, 2022. None of the parties have filed an objection. Accordingly, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 8](#).

Additionally, the Court certifies, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(a\)\(3\)](#), that an appeal from

(3:20CV2380)

this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. [28 U.S.C. § 2253\(c\)](#); [Fed. R. App. P. 22\(b\)](#).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 10, 2023

Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson

Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge